O
f the three NSRF co-directors, I am the only one who wasn’t involved in NSRF’s national network before 1999. Up until then my exposure to NSRF was as the director of Harmony School. With 185 pre-k to 12th grade students and sixteen faculty, we had utilized and benefited from our CFG at Harmony since we started our first group in 1995. Consequently, when NSRF decided to leave Brown University and become part of Harmony I had only an inkling of NSRF’s potential to touch tens of thousands of educators and millions of students. All that has changed.

Since Harmony’s founding in 1974 with four high school students, I had always hoped that we could serve as a catalyst for public school reform. The basic philosophy of Harmony had its origins in the “free school movement” of the late 1960s and early 1970s. That philosophy can best be summed up in the following statement: Schools should be a place where all people are engaged in an authentic democratic community and together have meaningful and revealing dialogue that begins to formulate new dimensions of ourselves and of society.

Ron Miller, president of the Foundation for Educational Renewal which funded the first three issues of Connections, writes eloquently about this movement in his book Free Schools, Free People, available through SUNY Press (www.sunypress.edu). When I started to experience the process of CFGs in 1995 it seemed very consistent with Harmony’s philosophy. CFGs appeared to be the perfect group process within which people in schools could have this “meaningful and revealing dialogue.” In the three years that NSRF has been a part of Harmony, many more educators have come to agree with this conclusion.

Building on the work that went on at Brown University from 1995 to 2000, we have seen the budget of NSRF grow from $10,000 to $2,000,000, and through the work of 26 Centers of Activity, 8000 additional educators have attended NSRF Coaches Institutes. However, amidst all this activity, there are two NSRF initiatives that touch me “where I live(d).” You see, in my life I have only lived in two places — Bloomington, Indiana, and Cleveland, Ohio, and as an adult I have really had only one job — being at Harmony School. The fact that NSRF work fit so naturally into Harmony School came as no surprise. However, to my great surprise, the largest single NSRF district project has emerged in my old hometown, Cleveland—within the Cleveland Municipal School District. I would like to take this opportunity to share with you what is going on in these two communities, Harmony and Cleveland, that are so close to my heart.

(continued on page 16)
At the Heart of Teaching: A Guide to Reflective Practice
A Book Review – Debbie Bambino, Pennsylvania

Whether you’re facilitating a session for new coaches, attending a retreat with colleagues, or planning for your classroom and school in the fall, this new book by Educators Writing for Change is a must read! Authors Grace Hall McEntee, Jon Appleby, JoAnne Dowd, Jan Grant, Simon Hole and Peggy Silva draw us into their hearts and classrooms as they share their stories and their tools in the pages of this small book that speaks volumes for anyone working with students and families. I was hooked from the start when JoAnne shared her desperation after returning from a conference to tell us that her class had behaved horribly for the substitute teacher. JoAnne doesn’t just share a sad story, she goes deeper and shares the process she used to unpack the problem with her kids. Her honest reflections about what worked, and what did not, in “Changing the Blame Game” will be useful for anyone who has ever wondered how their classroom community became a chaotic circus in their absence.

Jan Grant’s chapter on “Examining Student Work” spoke to students’ needs for authentic purpose and their innate desire to be part of their learning. I was transported into her school’s cafeteria as I read about students “Coming from Truth” and their demonstration of the depth of their understanding in “The Focus Question” protocol.

“Student Centered Meetings: A Protocol for Working with Families” by Peggy Silva gave me new ideas and energy for my work with colleagues around the possibilities for greater student voice and family engagement. I was moved by the honesty of Simon’s approach as he described the way that he and his colleagues move beyond congeniality through the “Art of Reflective Conversations” with their stories. “Learning to listen to our little voices,” and use reflective tools with our colleagues and kids will press us beyond the latest lunchroom laments. Simon reminds us that “telling our stories is hard…but worth the effort.”

Grace and Simon share two other approaches for reflection, one for times when we’re on our own, and one for use with a group. Depending on our preference or our circumstances, either should help us peel back the layers that can obscure our vision when things aren’t going as planned.

In the context of holding Socratic Dialogues with students, Jon writes of the dilemma we face as we work to empower our kids to take ownership for their learning. This resonated with me. While it may never get easier as we work to empower our students, Jon’s sharing will help us embrace the ambiguity together.

The book is rounded out by discussions of Critical Friends Groups in action and the value of Mini Retreats, complete with suggested protocols and writing activities for all of us to use as we continue to “polish the stone” of our work as reflective practitioners.

With a little help from our friends and their stories, I’m looking forward to a summer of renewed learning, and I hope you’ll join us.

You can purchase At the Heart of Teaching: A Guide to Reflective Practice at www.tcppress.com

Connections encourages anyone who reads/uses the ideas in this book to share their experiences in our online conversations and in future pages of this publication.

Debbie Bambino can be reached at <dbambino@earthlink.net>

CONNECTIONS is a journal of the National School Reform Faculty. Published three times per year by the Harmony School Education Center, it provides a forum for CFG coaches and other reflective educators to share their practice.
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NSRF Mission Statement
The mission of the National School Reform Faculty is to foster educational and social equity by empowering all people involved with schools to work collaboratively in reflective democratic communities that create and support powerful learning experiences for everyone.

What will the Seminar do?
The Summer Leadership Seminar will provide intellectual and emotional support to those who are actively working for equity through the positive transformation of educational settings. It will be facilitated by Nancy Mohr, NSRF New York, and Victor Cary, BayCES, Oakland, California.

We seek:
A. Build a community of caring, skilled leaders committed to being about equity in their schools
B. Build the capacity of leaders to lead and facilitate meaningful professional development to increase equity at their school sites and in their communities

What will happen at the Seminar?
Participants will have the opportunity to reflect deeply on issues of equity as they have played out in their own lives and in the lives of their students and families; to learn about and discuss strategies for creating democratic and equitable school communities; and to be supported in their leadership.

The focus of this seminar will be on how to do with race—and will be both theoretical and personal. The idea is that rather than figure out which equity issue to address, we pick one—race being an important and serious choice—in order to really concentrate on it, and then will talk about how the same tools and structures we use can be applied to all other constructs and to local settings.

Who should consider attending?
This seminar is designed for people who see themselves (or would like to see themselves) as leaders for equity—defined as people who take responsibility for what matters to them. This might be people who are currently taking leadership in their local contexts or people who would like to do so. It is strongly encouraged that participants attend in pairs or teams that are diverse by: race, gender, and role in school (i.e., teacher, administrator, counselor, etc.). In order to build a diverse team, you may want to consider staff that is not currently in formal leadership positions.

Logistics
Registration cost
$1000 (includes materials, dinner on Sunday, and breakfast and lunch Monday through Thursday)
Meeting Location
Grant-Humphreys Mansion—770 Pennsylvania, Denver, CO www.coloradohistory.org/ghm/rentalsGrantHum.htm
Hotel
The Burnsley—1000 Grant Street, Denver, CO Cost—$119/night www.burnsley.com

For more information and to register go to:
www.nsrfrharmONY.org/equity_institute.html

The Seminar IS:
A networking and learning opportunity for leaders committed to equity
A place where outside experts will give us the answers
A place to learn strategies, structures, and processes for supporting equity work at our school sites
An opportunity to experience and learn about the necessary infrastructure for addressing equity in depth
A place where structures will be provided for people to deal constructively with their feelings about race, class, gender and other forms of bias

The Seminar NOT:
A conference
An opportunity to deepen our own understanding and share our thinking and experiences
A place to find a packaged solution
A quick fix
A place where difficult issues will be avoided
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NSRF Summer Leadership Seminar on Equity
Sunday, August 3 — Thursday, August 7, 2003 in Denver, Colorado
Winter Meeting Review

8th Annual NSRF Winter Meeting
Equitable Leadership: Building Leadership Capacity for All

January 15 - 17 2004
Philadelphia Marriott
1201 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Register on-line at http://www.nsrfharmony.org/wintermeeting.html

I’ll never forget the scene. As the new district induction coordinator for the Roaring Fork School District, I surveyed the faces of the fifty or so rookie teachers on that first day in August two years ago. I could see it all in their eyes: idealism, fear, energy, naiveté, anxious expectation, and, when I handed out their packet of induction requirements, palpable shock, with just a touch of loving. I was the headlights and they were the herd huddled on the center stripe. I swear no one blinked for twenty minutes.

As supervisor to many student teachers through the years and as a frequent mentor for new teachers, I’ve heard it all and most of it began and ended with the same primal yelp — Aarrgghhh! Meetings! Time! Theory? What do I do about the mother who blames me for her son’s D? I have a homecoming float off my shoulder! How can I convince my class that I’m concerned about hurtful remarks to each other? Are my expectations with a wealth of new ideas and resources.

In January 2000, at our Winter Meeting in Los Angeles, we moved NSRF from the Annenberg Institute to the Harmony School Education Center in a Friday evening ceremony. We didn’t know what the future of NSRF would be. I think it was telling, however, that the first decision the Governance Council made, the day after the 2000 Winter Meeting, was that we would continue to gather someplace, every winter, to share our stories and to learn together. Pete Bermudez and his colleagues stepped forward and said, “Come to Miami next year.” And we did. The rest, as they say, is history.

Next January 2004, from the 15th to the 17th, we will gather in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. We hope you will join us. Our learning will be richer because you are there.

Gene Thompson-Grove is a Co-Director of NSRF. She can be contacted at <gthompsongrove@earthlink.net>

New Teacher Inductions
Jim Hontz, Colorado

When possible we would invite veteran teachers and mentors to contribute their wisdom.

Hmmm...it walks like a duck... Although I didn’t call them CFGs, they were indeed the same collaborative entity that my school CFG and several others in the district had grown to anticipate and enjoy through the years.

Now, two years later, Consultancies continue to be the staple protocol for new teachers encountering challenging situations for the first time. The notion that one must have years of experience to offer insights has no foundation in these meetings. Marie Voss Patterson, third grade teacher at Carbondale Elementary School, says, “Stepping back, talking about a problem, listening to other cohorts has made a huge difference in minor and major problems. I actually enjoyed our meetings and believe every teacher should have such a strong support group.”

These valuable peer collaborations, combined with a required journal, peer observations, and my visitsations at their request (frequently generated at a meeting), possess a facet of Critical Friends that is so essential: reflection.

Such meetings raise important questions for inexperienced teachers. One such concern comes from Susan Stockhouse, a seventh grade math teacher at Glenwood Springs Middle School: “Do I have the energy it takes to allow each student to feel special, wanted, and taught? Coming in with no background in critical friends, I truly a fit?” More experienced teachers would do well to engage in such ongoing self-evaluation.

Occasionally a teacher will get valuable feedback on a unit or lesson plan. When Ted Friesbee, a teacher at Carbondale Community School, presented a geographical localized adaptation of Monopoly in his social studies class, he was unsure of the quality and relevance of the creative exercise. He said, “The [fun] protocol was great, and I loved the way we...allow for both my reflection and the presentation of my lesson...Offering ‘warm’ and ‘cool’ comments was extremely helpful.”

Our final meetings have focused on individual successes rather than the traditional dilemmas so frequent for new teachers. We “tweaked” the Success Protocol into a briefer “Success Charrette” to accommodate the time crunch.

Physical education teacher Darcy Kyle’s thoughts are typical of many who are talking about successes and reflecting on how and why something works and how it can be used in other areas of our teaching.

In a profession in which we have the tendency to devour our young, here is yet another way for the Critical Friends model to serve us. And for impressive, vital new teachers with staggering potential, this collaboration can have a lasting effect.

Just last evening I read a reflection that has far-reaching implications: “I’m really excited about next year, and I’m looking forward to applying all of my new-found knowledge. I wonder — is there some kind of support base set up for second year teachers? Or third? Or fourth?”

The deer are safe in the field now, happily blinking and grazing.

Contact Jim Hontz at <jihontz@rfud12.co.us>
Eddying Out: Helping Your CFG Share Ownership of Planning and Leadership

Jay Davis, New Hampshire

In whitewater paddling and rafting, eddies are marvelous places. Calm pools of water just below rocks or bends in the river, they offer blessed relief from the often chaotic and turbulent currents and waves to the paddler who “eddies out.” More importantly, they provide the essential opportunity to take stock, check equipment, scan the scenery, gather water ahead, and plan the “best line” accordingly. An eddy is never a final destination, but often a crucial step to reaching that destination.

Neither are CFGs ever really our destination (as all of our work is ultimately focused on improving student learning). True, we need to attend meetings, but eddies are essential, and at times delightful, means towards reaching that end. Most CFG participants have experienced that wonder of finding calmer water together, removed from the schedule/paperwork/maddening crowd of the school day. Indeed, this time can be used to re-enter the daily chaos of our professional lives with new purpose, skill and support.

But CFG time, too, can develop its own sense of turbulence and chaos. Particularly for coaches, stress can build as agendas need to be developed, group members are kept busy working for pre-protocol conferences, time managed in a way that involves all group members but allows for individuals’ needs. For some groups, the best intentions to plan collaboratively and have no internal hierarchy run headlong into the reality that the board determines who said what to whom. The coach becomes the default—planner.

But CFG time, too, can develop its own sense of turbulence and chaos. Particularly for coaches, stress can build as agendas need to be developed, group members are kept busy working for pre-protocol conferences, time managed in a way that involves all group members but allows for individuals’ needs. For some groups, the best intentions to plan collaboratively and have no internal hierarchy run headlong into the reality that the board determines who said what to whom. The coach becomes the default—planner. The coach feels the pressure to make meetings productive, and the group, “signing up” for meeting attendance, only one skill at a time. Students are able to test how well they are working, and compared with students from CFG work, too, can use some time to eddy out.

With my own group, we decided to address this need this past fall. After some consideration of exactly what it was that we wanted as a group, we decided to make the following decisions: 1) some overall picture of what we hoped to accomplish as a group this year, 2) a shared sense of ownership/ responsibility for future meetings, and 3) an opportunity to collaboratively identify our own individual goals for this year.

To meet these three goals, I developed the following structure. At the beginning of the meeting, I made several columns on the whiteboard: “individual work” (what we want to bring to the group), “topics we would like the group to read and discuss”, and “school-wide issues for the group to discuss.” We wrote in our individual notebooks for ten minutes, brainstorming in each category. Then, in triads, people shared their lists with others, while in the process winnowing out our most important items in each category.

For the next step, we brought our individual lists to the whiteboard and wrote in one item under each category. After looking at the final list of items on the board, we each used a marker to put a dot next to our two preferred choices. For those choosing from the non-individual categories, selections invariably reflected the group’s preferences as shown by the dots.

Five slots were intentionally left open for different times of the year, and we also decided that anyone with a pressing need (a student whose work was suddenly needed, an upcoming assessment that suddenly needed adaptation, a school-board decision with unexpected ramifications) could ask to be scheduled for the next meeting as necessary.

In the months since that meeting—months of exhilarating and draining negotiating of our school’s and students’ various currents and waves—the benefits of periodic eddying out as a group are clear. As coach I feel less on the spot for needing to plan month to month. Far more important, though, the group shares an authentic ownership for what we are doing, and also knows that the topics being addressed are the ones that need to be. We have taken the time to slip out of the current for a bit of perspective—the chance to sit in the eddy and look at where we need to go, individually and collectively as a group. Such perspective is well worth the time.

Contact Jay Davis at <jay.davis@darmouth.edu>

An eddy is never a final destination, but often a crucial step to reaching that destination.

teaching and learning, as well as how the implementation of their plans may result in less than desired outcomes. Each school has become a more reflective school culture as a result of the KnowledgeWorks Foundation and OHSTI.

It has been an honor to be a part of this initiative. I have met and worked with some very caring, astute and insightful educators in Ohio public high schools, and I have formed collaborative relationships with a lot of the KnowledgeWorks staff. In addition, there are several NSF members (Lois Butler, Marcy Raymond, Steven Strull, Nancy Tannehill, Dyanne Alexander) with whom I have had the pleasure of continued and continuous collaboration. Whether or not a school is selected by KnowledgeWorks to engage in the deep planning year followed by the September 2004 opening as 2, 3, or 4 small autonomous high schools, I know that all schools involved will have benefited from this long and arduous journey.

The journey also has been extremely painful. It is not easy as a school community to document and provide evidence to support the low levels of performance on every indicator for school success. Most schools know they are not meeting the needs of all of their students, and they are not used to emerging themselves in a deep analysis of their current reality. They know they are failing because they are being told they are not doing well. The lack of success is documented and appears in numerous newspaper articles. It is a different dynamic and the potential for transformation is more intense when you have to create and document your current reality of failure. KnowledgeWorks had schools inform themselves of their low levels of performance. Now that this initial period of intense introspection is over, not one school can continue to do business as usual. With the thought of assistance from KnowledgeWorks, with or without continued funding from the foundations, these large, mighty urban high schools will continue to do things differently so that more students can succeed.

To find out more about the KnowledgeWorks Foundation Ohio High School Transformation Initiative please visit the web site: www.ohiofoundation.org

Camilla Greene can be contacted at <cagreene@rci.rutgers.edu>

Ridge Arts Actors…

(continued from page 11)

She can be reached at <emm@crms.dade.k12.fl.us>

Small Schools…

(continued from page 15)

Voila Janina sings, “Hero.” I was not crying alone this time.

One of the principals commented that we should send this tape to congress for viewing before any other legislation is passed.

After sharing the story with my students, they asked, “Is this about FCAT?” I knew we had the right piece. We adapted it for the stage, looking for the “atable moments.” We even found wonderful wood carvings done by our shop teacher (a member of the Woodburning Guild of Miami) to use as props.

To close the show, the character Mary (a little girl who once loved to make nails!) who had to say. Frequently, students view the idea of adults who really cared what they do and what they are doing — people who really care — as a dream. Everyone eyes wide open for viewing before any other legislation is passed.

...and for that, I am forever grateful to everyone who made it possible.

Linda Emm is a teacher at Cutler Ridge Middle School in Miami, Florida. She can be reached at <emm@crms.dade.k12.fl.us>
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people who took on more leadership and responsibility and those who did not. I believe this is because of CFG work we experienced an increase in teacher and staff self-confidence and a higher comfort level with their own abilities.

The effects of CFG work on the culture of the school has been profound. The results of that meeting and ensuing conversations have led to a brand new small school in Cleveland—Success Tech Academy—and the widespread adoption of CFGs throughout many of Cleveland’s elementary and middle schools. The small school was facilitated by monthly visits from Philadelphia NSRF Facilitator Debbie Bambino. Debbie’s work there over the course of nearly 14 months resulted in the opening of the school last September. The training of over 200 coaches has been facilitated by a team of 20 NSRF National Facilitators who have been making monthly visits to Cleveland since November, 2001.

Members of this national team come from Ohio, Florida, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Georgia, Oregon, New Mexico, and Pennsylvania. Amazingly, even with the brutal Cleveland winter, they were all there three of those days, each time I had the opportunity to participate in sessions and talk to many Cleveland coaches and district leaders.

The 15 Non-Negotiables made crystal clear the level of support, commitment, and innovation expected from all of the stakeholders in order to provide an academically rich, student centered, and standards based educational environment. Positive and supportive relationships are recognized as a key ingredient for success for high school students in low performing, mostly urban districts. Going one step further, KnowledgeWorks required school districts to sign documents agreeing to abide by the 15 Non-Negotiables in order to be a part of the OHSTI. In these 17 school districts are publicly held accountable for supporting the high school transformation initiative. The key elements of the OHSTI that appeal to me are:

- the 15 Non-Negotiables
- the ongoing modeling of supportive and equitable relationship building through the community engagement practices
- the collaborative relationship building and support built into the professional development for schools and the school coaches.

Overall the common thread of this work of transforming large, urban high schools into small schools is the imperative for the leadership and the school coaches.

The Harwood Institute has partnered with the KnowledgeWorks Foundation to help districts involve their communities. Each district has selected a community organization referred to as a “Center of Strength.” Each Center of Strength is required to meet with members of the community in groups of 8 to 10 people over a period of time. As a result of these meetings认真学习 in groups of 8 to 10 people over a period of time. As a result of these meetings
The 15 Non-Negotiables

- Autonomous governance, budgets, structures, and staffing; flexible use of resources
- Distributed leadership
- Open access and choice for students
- Identification and release time for principal in first year of implementation
- Professional development that clearly links changes in teaching practice to improved student achievement
- A clearly-defined system of central office support of small school design and implementation
- A curriculum clearly aligned with state standards and focused on helping students use their minds well
- Non-traditional scheduling that promotes deep student learning and meaningful relationships with teachers
- Clearly demonstrated use of technology and advanced communication resources
- Clearly stated benchmarks for improved student achievement
- Performance assessment for students
- Authentic community engagement as defined by substantive community conversations that engage a broad array of stakeholders, and connect with and influence official decisions
- Clear community involvement in the daily life of the school
- Individual teacher advisors for each student
- Target maximum population of 400 students
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Small Schools...

Winter Meeting Review
Gene Thompson-Grove, Co-Director, Massachusetts

What do Chicago, Providence, Waltham, Santa Monica, Boston, Atlanta, Miami, Houston and L.A. all have in common? If you guessed that these cities have all been sites for NSRF’s annual Winter Meeting, you would be right!

We held our first CFG Coaches/Principals Winter Meeting in December 1995 in Chicago. The previous summer, 94 coaches had been “trained” in one of four 6-day seminars in Providence, San Francisco, and Wisconsin. 91 out of that 94 showed up in Chicago that December. We were all struggling with implementing our very first CFGs, and we were desperate (or so it seemed) to talk to our colleagues from the summer. What were they doing that worked? How did they know it was working? What were their struggles?

That first year, we presented Consultancy dilemmas about our work as CFG coaches. We read a common text and discussed it, and we listened to a panel of our colleagues talk about their learning. We looked at our own students’ work in home groups, and we even formed a 91-person continuum in the ballroom around issues of standards and CFG portfolios. Little did we know at the time, but a pattern — now familiar — had been established for NSRF’s Winter Meetings.

Our work at the Winter Meetings has changed some each year — depending on the needs of the school. More recently, we have focused on learning from previous years. One year, we tried regional Winter Meetings, but people yearned for a national meeting, something where our various groups could be the place where you saw the people from your summer institute session, but as our numbers grew and people had to pay their own way, we began to form heterogeneous home groups, and began experimenting with role-alike groups. The Atlanta meeting in 1997 was the first meeting at which we tried Open Space — one day and 32 sessions more, and sometimes we find ourselves circling back. The World Café from last January may someday find its way back into one of our meetings as we learn more about how to use it well.

And our work has remained the same. We always read something together — the more provocative the better. In doing this, we acknowledge that if we are to construct our own learning, we must periodically introduce new ideas into the mix, as well as challenge our old assumptions. Sometimes the writer of the article or chapter we are reading comes and provokes us further: Carl Glickman, Patricia Wasley, Gloria Ladsen-Billings, Tony Alvarado, and Rob Evans have all joined us at our National Meetings. Some years, our colleagues are our keynote speakers: Paula Evans, Kevin Horton, Teri Schrader, Khadijah Abdul-Aleem, Larry Myatt, (continued on page 18)
In the Next Issue

Connections will focus on gender issues in schools in our Fall 2003 issue. If you are interested in submitting a piece, particularly student work, please contact Katy Kelly at <kkelly@harmonyschool.org> or Debbie Bambino at <dbambino@earthlink.net>.

Scott Murphy is writing a feature on the Future(s) Protocol, which he authored. If you have used it he is interested in hearing your feedback. You can contact him at <smurphy@jeffco.k12.co.us>.

See our website for submission guidelines, www.nsrfharmony.org/connections_guidelines.html or contact Katy Kelly at <kkelly@harmonyschool.org> if you have any questions or comments.

Winter Meeting 2003 Reflections

We at the National Center were eager to hear the opinions and experiences of Winter Meeting 2003 participants. When we unpacked after our trip to Los Angeles and sat down to read the Reflections Sheets, we were rewarded with thoughtfulness, insight, humor and honesty. Reflections have, for us, always been a useful tool for improving our work and learning from our experiences, and that certainly proved true in this case. Here is a sample of some of the reflections that spoke to us.

The power of professional learning communities depends on practice and trust and a common goal of improving student achievement. It is always good to have the refresher, which NSRF offers.

I really think this winter conference is a good way to connect with other coaches, especially those who have been in a group longer. They have shown us newer coaches how the ebb and flow can be established. I was amazed how we were on the same wavelength and spoke the same language. So positive, so refreshing, so reflective of the capabilities of interacting with other colleagues. I want NSRF to know that their work is of enormous value—thanks!

Quote for the day: “That article rules me up; I don’t even want to talk about it.” B: “Then I think you should talk about it; you will have something valuable to share.” A: “I choose to be silent.” B: “Let me know how it goes.” (Re: An Academic Standoff: Literacy Task Preferences of African-American and Mexican-American Male Adolescents vs. Teacher Expected Preferences, by Carolyn Orange and Rosalind Horowitz)

What a powerful way of starting this conference with a speaker like Terry Tafoya! He had so many insights that opened my mind and heart to the importance of the work we are undertaking. Life is all about making connections and we make connections by telling our stories. We need to tell our stories to our students and allow them to tell their own stories. CFGs allow us as educators an opportunity to make connections with other educators and hear each other in order to grow in our profession.

Dr. Tafoya was an amazing speaker. I’m excited to share his work with others and thankful to have been introduced to his work.

The success protocol was a great stimulator—success breeds success and we pass our enthusiasm on to each other.

I loved the World Café. It felt like the structure totally melted typical communication barriers, largely through the use of forcing people to be physically proximal, and by creating an environment of intimacy. Very interesting to see all the different directions the conversations went.

I really enjoyed the opportunity to have “clinics.” I felt the range and type of offerings was perfect.

Today, I went to the 3-hour coaches clinic “Descriptive Review of a Child.” I felt it was a very extensive analysis. A lot of what was discussed would be very useful in my own classroom. Much of which was dissected really struck and helped me reflect on my own practice.

This was a very fulfilling journey. It began with a bang! And ended the same way. How can we ever be the same after hands and hearts have connected? Looking forward to next winter meeting.

Before I forget: I wanted to mention that one of the most valuable parts of this WM is the opportunity to interact and have dialogue with people from backgrounds and cultures and ethnicities different from my own.

Overall this training has been a wonderful time for me to step back and remember who I am, within the larger web of our community, our culture, our world. I love the opportunity to step back inside myself away from the demands of everyday life.

It’s great being able to [have] time to indulge in the luxury of feeding my soul.
attended the program for a week and provided a typical hierarchical model of top-down observations. Feedback led to serious changes the following summer during my term as a Thompson Fellow. There was a conscious effort to make the program more amenable to all involved. A more laissez-faire design of the program continued to provide a typical hierarchical model of the Citibank program focused on instructional practice, not organizational change. As we recognized the value that school leaders could add, we began to train individuals in leadership and facilitation strategies. The earliest incarnation of this training was through Critical Friends Groups; however, those of us engaged in leadership development began to ask what the equivalent to looking at student work was for school leaders. We recognized over and over that effective facilitation enhances the dynamic in many settings. Over time, the questions and the learning evolved into concrete standards for facilitators. Building capacity for this work means training people, endorsing their acquisition of skills, and providing support. We teach leaders how to use a variety of protocols to enhance leadership mandates. We have learned that more significant change comes from ongoing support. Our web site (www.nsrfnj.org) lists the process for facilitating endorsement.”

When asked to state their vision for the future of NSRF, Alan and Nancy had similar replies. “Saturation,” Alan stated. “The presence of the organization lends credibility to the work. We need to identify potential leaders and facilitators and train them in habits of discussion and reflection.” Nancy agrees with Alan’s thoughts about saturation. “Process, process, process—the whole idea of structures to think and to do. We need to forge connections in our work. By involving school leaders in intellectual engagement, we free their minds to think in new ways. We need to value the talk more than the skill level. It is imperative to make everyone an insider in the conversation.”

That is happening in the NSRF work in New York City and in the State of Washington. For the past two years, a group of us have been working in Seattle with coaches from schools who have received money from the Gates Foundation. Time is a resource that cannot be shortened, and two years is not a long time. But by making the investment in the intellectually rigorous work of forming small learning communities, we provided time and professional development resources that allowed people to engage in new learning, and time to ‘chew on’ ideas. Consequently, we now have ninety new schools on the trajectory for completing their work. This was previously uncharted territory for NSRF, but it has been deeply satisfying to be part of such a huge paradigm shift.

Facilitation is the intellectual underpinning of democratic practice. It is constantly scary, and always edgy, but it is not an intellectual undertaking. It is not uncharted territory for NSRF, but it has been deeply satisfying to be part of such a huge paradigm shift.

One another. It involves the skills of listening, giving feedback, and also self-expression. Without the communication competency, no real dialogue or authentic sharing can happen.

• Research/Inquiry has to do with gathering data and new knowledge to deepen and broaden our knowing. It involves the skills of observation and description, data collection and analysis, and contextual reading to broaden our understanding base.

Evolving Trunk is the element of the Learning Community Tree that represents the different stages of developmental growth that a learning community may go through over time.

Roots are the different learning elements we can incorporate into our CFGs to help structure our meetings, develop the skills that will nurture our competencies, and generate the fruit, which are our learning outcomes. They include learning from building community; self-inquiry and expression; texts; problem solving; looking at student and adult work; and observing peers.

We believe that where a group is, is based largely on where they are in their internalization of the different learning competencies, Thinking, Being, Communication and Research, will affect the choice of Root learning activities as well as the success of a particular tool: protocol, activity or process. For example, is your group ready to handle peer visitation or do they need more practice in observation, description and error analysis? With the Text-based Seminar an appropriate choice for your meeting or does there need to be more practice in thinking skills, particularly in asking questions and considering multiple perspectives? We believe that where the group is as a whole, along with the needs of individual members, affects the successful outcome of a CFG design. The “rings” of growth, starting with an individual member’s growth and the creation of group norms, grow toward collaborative inquiry and the co-construction of new knowledge.

Once your group has formed and agreed upon the outcomes or fruits you wish to produce, it is very helpful to consider where your CFG might be along this Evolving Trunk. It is not simply a matter of judgment and standards but rather an acknowledgment of where your group is and what supports are needed for its growth and well-being at this time.

The graphic’s descriptors represent some principles we’ve observed in our practice with Learning Communities that seem generally applicable. At the same time, we’ve noticed that all members of a group need not be at the same level. The choice for your meeting or does there need to be more practice in thinking skills, particularly in asking questions and considering multiple perspectives? We believe that where the group is as a whole, along with the needs of individual members, affects the successful outcome of a CFG design. The “rings” of growth, starting with an individual member’s growth and the creation of group norms, grow toward collaborative inquiry and the co-construction of new knowledge.

Once your group has formed and agreed upon the outcomes or fruits you wish to produce, it is very helpful to consider where your CFG might be along this Evolving Trunk. It is not simply a matter of judgment and standards but rather an acknowledgment of where your group is and what supports are needed for its growth and well-being at this time.

The graphic’s descriptors represent some principles we’ve observed in our practice with Learning Communities that seem generally applicable. At the same time, we’ve noticed that all members of a group need not be at the same level. The choice for your meeting or does there need to be more practice in thinking skills, particularly in asking questions and considering multiple perspectives? We believe that where the group is as a whole, along with the needs of individual members, affects the successful outcome of a CFG design. The “rings” of growth, starting with an individual member’s growth and the creation of group norms, grow toward collaborative inquiry and the co-construction of new knowledge.

Once your group has formed and agreed upon the outcomes or fruits you wish to produce, it is very helpful to consider where your CFG might be along this Evolving Trunk. It is not simply a matter of judgment and standards but rather an acknowledgment of where your group is and what supports are needed for its growth and well-being at this time.
The Trees are Lovely, But Where is the Forest?
Bill Hayashi, Illinois and Carol Myers, Indiana

Bill Hayashi writes:
This past summer, eleven of us participated enthusiastically in a Critical Friends Group facilitated by Carol Myers, the members of which include faculty, administrators, and members of the cadre of coaches for Senior Seminar faculty CFGs. As our last Coaches’ Training weekend quickly approached, however, and the honeymoon phase of the relationship began to dissipate as the actual truth of the matter began really sinking in: We would be expected to give, in a few short weeks, our colleagues and friends through the intricacies of Critical Friends pedagogy and protocols, creating warm and effective faculty learning communities, and then invite our associates to take some of these same CFG protocols and methods into their own communities. That’s when the questions and the panic set in: “How exactly do we decide what to put in our toolbox? How do we communicate with our associates? What do we use for readings, and even more directly in a phone conversation with him and several other distraught faculty members, I began to see that these synthesizing assumptions existed primarily in my own head and weren’t necessarily clear and apparent to others. (Imagine that!) Bill sensed that I had an understanding of how to go about creating and developing collaborative learning communities that was not made explicit. It wasn’t enough to have participants within the training experience the “process” of becoming a learning community, if faculty weren’t to be instrumental in assisting others in forming and guiding their own CFGs, they needed to understand the overall structure and processes of how these learning communities come into being. Bill was tenacious in wanting to understand the larger context of learning community development. He wanted to see a conceptual framework and seminar design that would help faculty more formally establish a context for making decisions within their CFGs that would lead to ever evolving and stronger groups. Challenged by Bill in this way, I found that I was able to uncover my assumptions about collaborative learning community development.

Overload and meltdown in big time! “How do we structure our first meeting?” “Which protocols do we use?” “What are these elephants in the room that we need to tackle?” “Is the purpose of Critical Friends Groups anyway?” “There are so many trees, I can’t really see the forest!” That’s when one astute faculty member nailed it succinctly: “You know, I feel like I have this toolbox filled with all these wonderful tools, but I’m not exactly sure what we’re building nor what each tool is supposed to be used for.” That’s when I dropped my cool and e-mailed Carol.

Carol Myers writes:
As a facilitator of group work, I have operated with a growing set of assumptions about how collaborative learning groups develop. These assumptions, along with NSRF protocols, experiences, readings, and a little intuition, guide many of my decisions in supporting the development of collaborative learning communities. When I got Bill’s e-mail, and even more directly in a phone conversation with him and several other distraught faculty members, I began to see that these synthesizing assumptions existed primarily in my own head and weren’t necessarily clear and apparent to others. (Imagine that!) Bill sensed that I had an understanding of how to go about creating and developing collaborative learning communities that was not made explicit. It wasn’t enough to have participants within the training experience the “process” of becoming a learning community, if faculty weren’t to be instrumental in assisting others in forming and guiding their own CFGs, they needed to understand the overall structure and processes of how these learning communities come into being. Bill was tenacious in wanting to understand the larger context of learning community development. He wanted to see a conceptual framework and seminar design that would help faculty more formally establish a context for making decisions within their CFGs that would lead to ever evolving and stronger groups. Challenged by Bill in this way, I found that I was able to uncover my assumptions about collaborative learning community development.

The Collaborative Learning Tree map in the illustration represents our thinking to date. We are sharing this graphic and its description for feedback so that we can co-construct an accurate description of the coaching process.

The Collaborative Learning Tree is a visual representation of some key factors that members might consider when designing their groups and action plans. The Collaborative Learning Tree model states that the over-riding purpose of CFGs is to create supportive, adult learning communities that in turn are translated into learning communities that support all of our students. In our visual you’ll find the following:

Fruits, which represent the specific learning outcomes and the concrete and measurable products that a CFG hopes to generate as a result of its ongoing meetings. These include more general outcomes, such as greater collaboration among faculty to strengthen pedagogy or more thoughtful assessment of student work.

Branches are the competencies or collaborative norms that need to be internalized to grow and support the “fruits.” We have identified four major branches/competencies that produce healthy, tasty fruit (measurable objectives). These are competencies in Thinking, Being, Communication, and Research.

• Thinking/Questioning has to do with thinking and questioning skills as well as the ability to consider different perspectives and identify differing assumptions.

• Being/Action has to do with how we are together: what needs to happen for there to be trust and accountability within the student “community.” The skills we have identified here include openness and receptivity, caring and support, and focus and commitment.

• Communication has to do with how we communicate with really benefiting from the learning experiences that we are so anxious to provide. We are sharing this graphic and its description for feedback so that we can co-construct an accurate description of the coaching process.

In our visual you’ll find the following:

Fruits, which represent the specific learning outcomes and the concrete and measurable products that a CFG hopes to generate as a result of its ongoing meetings. These include more general outcomes, such as greater collaboration among faculty to strengthen pedagogy or more thoughtful assessment of student work.

Branches are the competencies or collaborative norms that need to be internalized to grow and support the “fruits.” We have identified four major branches/competencies that produce healthy, tasty fruit (measurable objectives). These are competencies in Thinking, Being, Communication, and Research.

• Thinking/Questioning has to do with thinking and questioning skills as well as the ability to consider different perspectives and identify differing assumptions.

• Being/Action has to do with how we are together: what needs to happen for there to be trust and accountability within the student “community.” The skills we have identified here include openness and receptivity, caring and support, and focus and commitment.

• Communication has to do with how we communicate with...
Ridge Arts Actors and the No Child Left Behind Act
Linda Emm, Florida

“Kids! I don’t know what’s wrong with these kids today!” from Bye, Bye Birdie

This song from Bye Bye Birdie reflects a question that has been posed from the beginning of time by adults about the children in their lives. You can hear this same question being posed today in teachers’ lounges across the country. Maybe one reason the answer is so elusive is that they are asking the wrong people. To get authentic answers, we need to go to the primary sources: the students.

“So what about the students?” has been a question through which I have processed much of the NSRF work I’ve done over the years. As I first struggled with probing questions, descriptive statements, and dilemmas, I was also thinking what are asking the wrong people. To get authentic answers, we need to pursue another line of work. While crying to my friend and colleague, Kim Brown, she remarked, “It sounds like you need a consultancy.” And she was right. Another fellow coach, Sharon Jones, pursued another line of work.

Returning to Cutler Ridge Middle School after a CFG coaches training in Boston, I became obsessed with creating a collaborative culture with my colleagues. As members of the Coalition of Essential Schools, and avid students of Senge, we were primed for this next step: an actual structure for doing and sustaining our inquiry into our practice and its effect on our students. And, though the process has gone through more phases than the moon, it flourishes still with a new principal, Dr. Elizabeth Alves. To me, there is a direct link between classes and rituals where outstanding work is being produced by students and teachers, and the teachers’ active participation in a collaborative group. Some teachers begin the day with Connections. Chalk Talks abound in all disciplines. Working on scenes in theatre, students work in companies, where they present their work to each other for feedback along the path to making it public. Reflections on the work (what we were trying to do, what we actually did, why we did it that way, and what we would do differently next time) are the norm.

These habits have greatly enhanced the work I do in theatre, especially with my Ridge Arts Acting Company. We rarely do published works; I feel middle school kids have so much to say, and have so few forums to voice their thoughts, feelings, concerns, delights, fears, and dreams. We build our performance pieces around these very personal issues, and doing so increases our sense of community. These pieces are truly unique to the group that produces them, because no other group is like them.

Earlier this year we were invited by Gene Thompson-Grove to take part in the closing ceremonies for the ATLAS Communities Principals’ Institute. The principals would be spending a week in March looking at data surrounding the “No Child Left Behind” legislation and the question of equity in its implementation. Working with national facilitators (including Gene and Daniel Baron), superintendents, principals, and teachers, they would struggle with all aspects of NCLB—Gene thought that perhaps a fitting close would be to hear from students on the issue. What effect does this whole thing have on students in the classroom?

Introducing the idea to my students was an eye-opening exercise. I wrote “No Child Left Behind” on a chart, and asked them what it meant. They thought it might mean not to forget anyone when you leave. Or if you go on a field trip, everyone has to go…and you can’t come back until everyone is on the bus. I explained it was a law, intended to make sure that schools work for everyone in them—not just the easy ones to teach. They thought that was a terrific idea. We continued the discussion through questions like: what would it take to make this true? For them? For their friends? For those students sitting in the back of their classes who seem totally disengaged? And how would we know when all children were succeeding? This sort of stamped them (although they have visions of schools where learning is joyful — in ALL the classrooms, with teachers who remember what it’s like to be a kid, and who listen to them).

I suggested that maybe the people who created the law weren’t quite sure what it would look like, either. They think maybe a test might tell them how children are doing… Well! Florida has this “little thing” called the FCAT, the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test. “Is that why we have FCAT?” My students immediately pounced on that with very vocal protests. The overwhelming view was, if THAT’s what NCLB was about, maybe it was a trick! (Their words, not mine.)

At that point, I offered the information that they would have the opportunity to speak to some principals who were good thinkers about teaching and learning, who would love to hear students’ views on this very volatile subject. Did they think they would have something to offer? They were more than willing to seize the challenge.

First they wrote poems about themselves and how they see the world… Megan writes, “Life is like broccoli — you love it or you hate it!” and extols us to “don’t set limits, boundaries… be cake!” Jenny proclaims, “I may be black, white, green, purple, orange, Cuban, Puerto Rican, Haitian, Chinese... but ain’t I somebody?” … Jacinth’s words cry out: “Nobody knows, nobody sees, nobody hears me… My shell is cracking, you’ll soon know the truth…” Christina’s words sing, “I am the sweetest lemon you will ever taste, the saddest person you will ever see smile…” And sweet Kevin shocks with the words: “Middle school is a dangerous, brutal world in which everyone is alone.”

I wept. These kids are so willing to offer their souls up for all the world to see. (Talk about going public with one’s work!) Clearly, the ATLAS principals would get an honest insight into these kids’ world. We had the opening to our presentation.

Now what? How could we create a group performance piece about the impact of NCLB on their school lives, that would be both theatrical and deliver a message we believed?

As fate so often works, Pete Bermudez returned from one of his Cleveland NSRF work sessions with an article titled, “The Woodcrafters’ City.” Written by Rick Traw, and appearing in the January, 2002, edition of Language Arts, “The Children of Woodcrafter City” is a cautionary tale that would have something to offer. They were more than willing to